

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/053,978	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ALLARD, DOUGLAS PAUL
	<b>Examiner</b> Douglas J. Theisen	<b>Art Unit</b> 1724

**All Participants:****Status of Application:** allowed(1) Douglas J. Theisen.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Heather Fan.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 31 August 2004**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*none*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***The need to delete a duplicate drawing replacement sheet labeled as "Fig. 1" in the response filed on 6 July 2004 was discussed.***Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

*D.J. Theisen*

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)